

ZINC DETERMINATION

by ABS, AAS and HPLC-ion

Yentl Bekaert, Ian Van der Veken, Lee Baes, Alexandra Senden, Fleur Van Eylen, Sarah Scholiers

Introduction

Zinc sulphate is an inorganic salt that is essential for human health. The salt has a wide variety of useful applications. For example, it can be consumed as a vitamin supplement and on the other hand prevent extended moss growth on roofs.⁽¹⁾

According to Ph. Eur. And USP, photometric titration is the most suitable method to determine zinc sulphate. In this research project, this claim was investigated by conducting a comparative study of photometric titrations (ABS) with atomic absorption

Materials and methods

• ABS - Metrohm Eco Titrator

The technique is performed using a standardised EDTA solution as titrant and Erio-T as indicator. Measurements were performed using a Metrohm Optrode (610 nm). The equivalence point was determined in the titration curve with the measured voltage as a function of volume of EDTA .

• AAS - novAA 400 S Analytik Jena

In this method, zinc ions are atomised into zinc atoms by a graphite furnace or flame. The measurement principle is based on an absorbance measurement where a reduction in light intensity is observed. A calibration line is established of the measured absorbance as a function of the concentration of zinc ions in solution.



spectrophotometry (AAS) and high-performance liquid chromatography-ion (HPLC-ion).

With the aim of performing this study, two unknown samples were prepared. The PRL-sample is a purchased zinc sulphate supplement with an undetermined zinc concentration, whereas the self-prepared zinc sample has a known zinc concentration. The self-prepared sample is analysed in three solvents: Milli-Q, distilled water and tap water. The PRL-sample was analysed in Milli-Q solvent. To determine the most efficient method for zinc determination, the parameters of analysis time, cost, solvent and other performance characteristics (accuracy and confidence interval) are compared.

HPLC-ion - Metrohm 761 Compact IC
 This principle is based on an equilibrium reaction between
 the sulphate ions in the mobile phase and the resin in the
 anion exchanger column. When the sulphate ions reach the
 suppressor column, a conductivity measurement is
 performed. A chromatogram is established with a peak area
 corresponding with the change in electric current. A
 calibration line is plotted with the peak area as a function of
 the concentration of sulphate ions in solution.





Results and discussion

Table 1: PRL-sample – Milli-Q analysis: AAS-flame, HPLC-ion and ABS

Average mass of zinc (g)



<u>A</u>	1,326	±	0,0300
Rume tenesses inter-	1,716	±	0,120
	1,323	±	0,100

In comparison with AAS-flame and ABS, HPLC-ion demonstrates a significant deviation on the average mass of zinc. During the analysis, multiple dilution factors were applied on the PRL-sample to fit the sample in the calibration line, resulting in dilution errors and a bigger dispersion.

Table 2: Self-prepared sample – Milli-Q analysis-Relative error on theoretical mass of zinc (%)



Figure 1: Self -prepared sample – Milli-Q analysis

With ABS, the same titrant and primary standard solutions were used for each lab and the self-prepared sample did not have to be diluted. HPLC-ion and AAS-flame, on the other hand, both analysed a diluted sample solution. In addition, both methods used standard solutions prepared from a diluted stock solution to establish a calibration line. Therefore, the average obtained mass of zinc with HPLC-ion and AAS-flame demonstrates a higher dispersion.



The relative error indicates the extent to which the average mass of zinc ions in the self-prepared sample deviates from the theoretically calculated mass of zinc ions.

It was concluded that with ABS the best results were obtained for the analysis of the selfprepared sample in Milli-Q solvent. The method has a minor relative error and low dispersion. The results of the PRL-sample are not included in this decision, since the average determined masses of zinc in the PRL-sample are significantly different from the theoretically calculated masses in the PRL-sample. In addition, different dilution factors were applied within each method to fit the PRL-sample in the calibration lines, which indicates unreliable results.

References

- (1) Metrohm AG. Metrohm. [Updated 2022 november 9]. Available from https://www.metrohm.com/en/applications/application-notes/aa-t-001-100/an-t-090.html
- (2) PNGEG. [Updated 2022 december 16]. Available from https://www.pngegg.com/en/png-zasdf
- (3) Icones.pro. [Updated 2022 december 16]. Available from https://icones.pro/en/water-icon-png-symbol-red/

Promotor research: Dr. Ir. S. Arickx Faculty of Technology, campus Gasthuisberg, Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium



